

PCTWORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
International Bureau

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification 5 : C12N 15/82, A01H 1/02, 5/00 A01N 63/02	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 93/18170 (43) International Publication Date: 16 September 1993 (16.09.93)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/GB93/00514 (22) International Filing Date: 11 March 1993 (11.03.93) (30) Priority data: 9205474.1 13 March 1992 (13.03.92) GB (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES (CAMBRIDGE) LTD. [GB/GB]; 210 Cambridge Science Park, Cambridge CB4 4WA (GB). (72) Inventors; and (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): ATKINSON, Howard, John [GB/GB]; 43 Sutherland Avenue, Leeds, W. Yorkshire LS8 1BY (GB). BOWLES, Dianna, Joy [GB/GB]; Blacksmiths Cottage, Middlesmoor, Upper Nidderdale, N. Yorkshire HG3 5ST (GB). GURR, Sarah, Jane [GB/GB]; Somerville College, Oxford University, Oxford, Oxfordshire OX2 6HD (GB). McPHERSON, Michael, John [GB/GB]; 45 Henley Avenue, Thornhill, Dewsbury, N. Yorkshire WF12 0LN (GB).	(74) Agent: BARKER, BRETTELL & BOUTLAND; Prudential Buildings, Room 24, 97-101 Above Bar Street, Southampton, Hampshire SO9 4GT (GB). (81) Designated States: AT, AU, BB, BG, BR, CA, CH, CZ, DE, DK, ES, FI, GB, HU, JP, KP, KR, KZ, LK, LU, MG, MN, MW, NL, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SK, UA, US, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, SN, TD, TG). Published <i>With international search report. Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i>	
(54) Title: ROOT KNOT NEMATODE RESISTANCE (57) Abstract In order to provide plants which exhibit resistance to infection by root knot nematodes identification is made of a gene which is expressed at the nematode feeding site of an infected plant. The promoter of the feeding site gene is isolated and associated with a sequence which encodes a molecule inimical to root knot nematode infection. A further plant is transformed with the so constructed chimaeric gene.		
ATTORNEY DOCKET NUMBER: 9341-027-999 SERIAL NUMBER: 09/978,273 REFERENCE: AH		

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	FR	France	MR	Mauritania
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	MW	Malawi
BB	Barbados	GB	United Kingdom	NL	Netherlands
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	NO	Norway
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	NZ	New Zealand
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	PL	Poland
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	PT	Portugal
BR	Brazil	IT	Italy	RO	Romania
CA	Canada	JP	Japan	RU	Russian Federation
CF	Central African Republic	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CG	Congo	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CH	Switzerland	KZ	Kazakhstan	SK	Slovak Republic
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LJ	Liechtenstein	SN	Senegal
CM	Cameroon	LK	Sri Lanka	SU	Soviet Union
CS	Czechoslovakia	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CZ	Czech Republic	MC	Monaco	TC	Togo
DE	Germany	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
DK	Denmark	ML	Mali	US	United States of America
ES	Spain	MN	Mongolia	VN	Viet Nam
FI	Finland				

Root Knot Nematode Resistance

The subject invention relates to resistance in plants to deleterious effects of infection by root knot nematode.

Root knot nematodes (*Meloidogyne* spp) are major pathogens of many crop plants, for example vegetables, food legumes, tobacco, tomato, water melon, grape, peanut and cotton.

Chemical control, cultural practices and the use of resistant varieties are the chief approaches to nematode control which are currently available and they are often used in an integrated manner against root knot nematodes. There is a requirement for improvement in the nematode control because these current approaches offer inadequate crop protection. Nematicides are of questionable environmental status and they are not always efficacious. Cultural control imposes hidden losses on growers in several ways. The wide host range of root knot nematodes limits the availability of economically satisfactory non-host crops. Effective resistant cultivars are frequently unavailable and those that the grower can use are sometimes out-performed by susceptible cultivars at low root knot nematode densities. Also resistance may be lost in the high soil temperatures that occur in tropical and sub-tropical environments.

When a root knot nematode invades the root of a plant it migrates intercellularly until it reaches the

root meristem. Pharyngeal gland secretions are then injected through the stylet of the nematode into cells in the region of the meristem. This causes the normal development of these cells to be disrupted, whereby nuclear division occurs without the occurrence of cell division. There are thus formed multi-nucleate cells, known as "giant cells". Accompanying the formation of the giant cells is the expansion of surrounding cells, known as hypertrophic cells, which the nematode does not attack directly by stylet penetration. The giant cells and the surrounding hypertrophic cells together constitute the feeding site of root knot nematodes. The observed knot formed on the infected root consists of such giant cells and the accompanying hypertrophic cells which are the result of a multiplicity of nematode infections. The mechanism of the production of giant cells is similar in all susceptible plant species.

Following giant cell induction, the root knot nematode loses locomotory ability as feeding by the nematode on the giant cell proceeds, and the nematode becomes committed to feeding, development and reproduction at the feeding site.

In International Patent Publication WO 92/04453 there is described a method of control of root cyst nematodes. In a paper entitled "Gene Expression in Nematode-Infected Plant Roots" by Gurr et al (1991), there is described, in relation to potato cyst nematodes a method for obtaining cDNA from mRNA which is found in

the syncytium of a plant which has been infected by the root cyst nematode.

The subject invention provides a method of producing root knot nematode resistant plants, wherein in respect of a root knot nematode infected plant there is identified a gene which is expressed in the giant cells and/or the accompanying hypertrophic cells of root knots of the plant, the promoter of said gene is taken and fused with a coding sequence to provide a chimaeric gene which encodes a molecule which is inimical to one or more of 1. root knot giant cells, 2. root knot hypertrophic cells and 3. root knot nematodes, and a further plant is transformed with said chimaeric gene.

Plants to which may be imparted root knot nematode resistance in accordance with the subject invention include vegetable plants, food legumes, tobacco, edible fruit plants, edible nut plants and cotton. Thus, for example, in respect of vegetable plants the subject invention may be applied to carrot plants and in respect of fruit plants it may be applied to tomato plants.

In that the mechanism of production of giant cells is similar in all susceptible plant species, the inventive method is, in fact, applicable to all such species which are also transformable in accordance with the transformation step of the method.

The inventive method is applicable in respect of *Meloidogyne* species including but not limited to *M.incognita*, *M.javanica*, *M.arenaria* and *M.hapla*.

The gene identified and selected from an infected plant is preferably one the expression of which takes place not before the nematode has substantially lost locomotory ability.

Sequences (in the chimaeric gene) to be expressed under the control of the said promoter include one or more of:-

1. A coding sequence for a molecule that causes necrosis of giant cells and/or hypertrophic cells.
2. A coding sequence for a molecule that causes necrosis of a root knot nematode.
3. A coding sequence for any of a number of enzymes which are active to impair plant cell metabolism.
4. The antisense of the feeding site specific gene.
5. Antisense of the coding sequence for enzymes critical to plant cell metabolism.

It will generally be necessary to ensure that a gene is selected which is a gene which is expressed in only the giant cells and/or the accompanying hypertrophic cells, since if a gene was expressed at another site, the expression product of the chimaeric gene produced at the other site might adversely affect the transformed plant.

It is an advantage of the present invention that root knot nematode resistance can be imparted to plants without the need to produce constitutively an anti nematode infection product as listed above at 1-5.

SUBSTITUTE SHEET

A preferred procedure for carrying out the subject invention will now be described.

Growth and Infection of tobacco plants

Seeds of C319 tobacco are germinated on Fisons F1 compost under conditions as follows. Light intensity of 4500 to 5000 lux, with 16 hour periods of light alternating with 8 hour periods of darkness, and temperatures between 20°C and 25°C. After c. 3 weeks seedlings are gently washed in tap water to remove soil and transferred to pouches (2 plants per pouch; Northrup-King) and grown for a further week in a Conviron at 25°C and with a light intensity of 5500 lux for 16 hour periods alternating with 8 hour periods of darkness. Roots are lifted from the back of the pouch and supported with Whatman GF/A glass fibre paper at their tips. Three day old nematodes (*N. javanica*) are then delivered to the tips of these roots in 10 μ l (50 nematodes) aliquots and a second piece of GF/A paper is placed on top to fully encapsulate the root tip. Following 24 hours post infection, the GF/A paper is removed to ensure synchronous infection. Following 3 days post infection the knots are dissected out (leaving healthy root and root tip tissue behind) and frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen. Approximately 0.5 to 1g of infected root tissue can be harvested from 80 inoculated plants.

Staining for visualisation of nematodes in infected roots

To establish the quality of the infection the number of nematodes (infecting) per root tip is determined. Roots are harvested from 3 day post infected plants and

immersed for 90 seconds in lactophenol containing 0.1% Cotton Blue at 95°C. Following a 5 second rinse in water, the roots are placed in lactophenol at room temperature (RT) for 3 - 4 days to clear. Stained nematodes are then visualised using light microscopy.

RNA isolation from healthy and infected root tissue

Root tissue is ground to a fine powder in a chilled (liquid nitrogen) pestle and mortar. About 100mg aliquots are then transferred to similarly chilled Eppendorf tubes and 300µl of hot phenol extraction buffer added (50% phenol, 50% extraction buffer : 0.1M lithium chloride, 0.1M Tris-HCl pH8.0 (RT), 10mM EDTA, 1% SDS) and incubated at 80°C for 5 mins. An equal volume of chloroform is then added and the homogenate microfuged for 15 minutes at 4°C. The aqueous phase is then extracted with 600µl of phenol/chloroform and microfuged as above. Following this, the aqueous phase is again removed and then the RNA precipitated with an equal volume of lithium chloride at 4°C overnight. The precipitate is pelleted by microfuging for 15 minutes at RT and washed in 70% ethanol. The pellet is then lyophilised, resuspended in DEPC treated water and assayed using a spectrophotometer. RNA quality is assessed by denaturing gel electrophoresis. (Adapted from Shirzadegan et al 1991).

Subtractive cloning of infection specific cDNAs

Poly(A)⁺ RNA (mRNA) is isolated from 200µg total RNA samples from healthy and infected C319 root tissue using magnetic oligo dT Dynabeads according to the

manufacturer's instructions. First strand cDNA synthesis is performed *in situ* on the Dynabead bound poly (A)⁺ fraction from the healthy tissue. This is the Driver DNA. First and second strand synthesis is performed *in situ* on the Dynabead bound poly (A)⁺ fraction from the infected tissue. This is the Target DNA. All cDNA reactions are carried out using Pharmacia's cDNA synthesis kit and according to the manufacturer's instructions. Three oligonucleotides, SUB21 (5'CTCTTGCTTGAATTCCGACTA3'), SUB25 (5'TAGTCCGAATTCAAGCAAGAG CACA3') (sequences from Duguid & Dinauer, 1990) and LDT15 (5'GACAGAAGCGGATCCd(T)₁₅3') (O'Reilly et al, 1991) are kinased with T4 polynucleotide kinase according to Maniatis et al, (1982). SUB21 and SUB25 are then annealed to form a linker which is then ligated to the target DNA with T4 DNA ligase according to King & Blakesley (1986). Following this, the beads carrying the Target are washed extensively with TE and the second strand of the cDNA eluted at 95°C in 5xSSC.

The RNA bound to the Dynabead bound Driver DNA is removed by heat and the eluted Target DNA hybridised to the Driver DNA at 55°C in 5 x SSC for 5 hours. Non-hybridising Target DNA is separated from the bead bound driver DNA at room temperature following the manufacturer's instructions, following which, hybridising Target DNA is similarly separated from the bead bound Driver DNA at 95°C. The RT eluted Target DNA is then added back to the Driver DNA and the hybridisation repeated. This process is repeated until the amount of

SUBSTITUTE SHEET

Target hybridising to the Driver no longer exceeds the amount that does not hybridise. DNA concentrations are established using Invitrogen's DNA Dipstick in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions.

Aliquots of the final RT eluted fraction are used in PCR amplification (Eckart et al, 1990) to generate double stranded cDNA for cloning into a plasmid vector. Amplification of the Target DNA is achieved using the primers SUB21 and LDT15 and a Hybaid Thermal Cycler according to the conditions described by Frohman et al, 1988. The PCR products are then ligated into SmaI digested pBluescript vector according to King & Blakesley (1986).

Screening of the subtractive library by Reverse Northern analysis

Recombinants are identified by colony PCR (Gussow & Clackson, 1989). The amplified inserts are Southern blotted in triplicate onto Pall Biodyne membranes as described by the membrane manufacturer. Prehybridisation and hybridisation are carried out with the same temperature and buffer which are 42°C and 5 x SSPE, 0.05% BLOTTO, 50% formamide. These are hybridised separately to cDNA probes (see below) from healthy and infected tissue and to a probe comprising amplified Target DNA from the final subtraction. Clones that show a hybridisation signal to the infected cDNA probe only or that show a hybridisation signal to the subtracted probe but not the cDNA probes are selected for further analysis.

cDNA probe generation

To achieve high specific activity probes for differential screening, cDNA synthesis is conducted 'cold' on total RNA and the synthesis products then labelled by oligolabelling. Samples of 10µg total RNA from healthy and infected tissue are first treated with 2.5 units DNase 1 at 37°C for 15 minutes. The DNase is then denatured at 95°C for 10 minutes before cDNA synthesis is performed (standard Pharmacia protocol). The RNA is then removed in the presence of 0.4M sodium hydroxide for 10 minutes at RT and the DNA purified through a spun Sephacryl 400HR column. cDNA yield and concentration are determined using DNA Dipsticks (Invitrogen). The cDNA products are then labelled as for Pharmacia's standard oligolabelling protocol (c. 35ng/probe).

Northern blotting

To determine the expression profile of the cDNAs selected from the Reverse Northern in the different tissues of the plant, the clones are used as probes in Northern analysis of either total or poly (A)+ RNA from healthy and infected roots, stems, leaves and flowers. Total RNA blots comprise 25µg RNA per lane whilst poly (A)+ blots comprise 0.5 to 1µg RNA per lane. The RNA is electrophoresed on formaldehyde gels and blotted onto Pall Biodyne B membrane as described by Fournery et al (1988). Probes are labelled and hybridised to blots as described above.

SUBSTITUTE SHEET

Southern blotting

To determine whether the cDNAs are of plant or nematode origin, C319 and *M.javanica* DNA are prepared as described by Gawel & Jarret, (1991). Southern blots are prepared comprising 10µg *EcoRI* and *HindIII* digested DNA per lane. The blots are hybridised to oligolabelled probes as described above.

In Situ hybridisations

To determine the locality of expression of the cDNAs of interest at the feeding site, *in situ* hybridisations are performed. Tissue from infected and healthy roots are embedded in wax, sectioned and hybridised to the probes as described by Jackson (1991).

Isolation of 5' termini of mRNAs

The 5' termini of the RNAs of interest are determined prior to the isolation of their promoter sequences. This is achieved by using 5' RACE as described by Frohman et al, (1988).

Isolation of promoter regions

The promoter regions of the genes of interest are isolated by a process termed Vector-Ligated PCR. 100ng samples of restriction endonuclease digested C319 genomic DNA are ligated for 4 hours at RT (King & Blakesley, 1986) with 100ng samples of pBluescript (digested with a restriction enzyme producing compatible termini). Typically, enzymes used are *EcoRI*, *BamHI*, *HindIII*, *BglII*, *XhoI*, *ClaI*, *Sall*, *KpnI*, *PstI*, and *SstI*. PCR is then performed on the ligations using a vector primer such as the -40 Sequencing primer and a primer complementary to

the 5' terminus of the mRNA. The PCR products are then cloned and sequenced. If necessary, the process is repeated with a new primer complementary to the 5' terminus of the promoter fragment to ensure that the control sequences of the promoters are isolated.

Construction of chimaeric genes in binary plant transformation vectors

The isolated promoters are ligated 5' to a sequence which is a sequence of one of the classes 1. - 5. as detailed hereinabove, examples being the antisense of the gene itself (class 4.) or the barnase gene (Hartley et al, 1972) (class 1. and/or class 3.). These are constructed in binary vectors (Bevan, 1984).

Transgenic plant production

Transgenic plants, for example tobacco, may be produced by the standard *Agrobacterium* mediated leaf disc method described by Horsch et al (1985), thus to provide root knot nematode resistant plants. Seeds or other propagules of plants the product of the subject invention can be stored for future use.

As will be realised by those skilled in the art, with some classes of plant it may be appropriate or necessary to transform the plant by use of a method other than an *Agrobacterium* mediated method.

As will also be realised by those skilled in the art, upon root knot nematode infection of plants the product of the subject invention the plants no longer suffer the said deleterious effects of such infection and the reproductive capacity of the root knot nematodes is

impaired so that the population of root knot nematodes in the soil at the location of the plants is reduced to an economically insignificant size.

Root knot nematode resistance may be imparted in accordance with the subject invention to all root knot nematode susceptible monocotyledonous, dicotyledonous, herbaceous and woody plant species.

References:

BEVAN, M. (1984) Nucleic Acids Research 12(22) : 8711-8721.

DUGUID, J.R. & DINAUER, M.C. (1990) Nucleic Acids Research 18(9): 2789-2792.

ECKERT, K.A. & KUNKEL, T.A. (1990) Nucleic Acids Research 18(13): 3737-3744.

FOURNEY, R.M., MIYAKOSHI, J., DAY III, R.S. & PATERSON, M.C. (1988) Focus 10(1): 5-7.

FROHMAN, M.A., DUSH, M.K. & MARTIN, G.R. (1988) Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA 85: 8998-9002.

GAWEL, N.J. & JARRET, R.L. (1991). Plant Molecular Biology Reporter 9(3) : 262-266.

GUSSOW, D., & CLACKSON, T. (1989). Nucleic Acids Research 17 : 4000.

GURR, S.J., MCPHERSON, M.J., SCOLLAN, S., ATKINSON, H.J. & BOWLES, D.J. (1991) Gene Expression in Nematode - Infected Plant Roots, Mol. Gen. Genet, 226 : 361-366.

HARTLEY, R.W., ROGERSON, D.L., & SMEATON, J.R. (1972) Preparative Biochemistry 2: 243-250.

HORSCH, R.B., FRY, J.E., HOFFMANN, N.L., EICHHOLTZ, D.,
ROGERS, S.G. & FRALEY, R.T., (1985) Science 227: 1229-
1231.

JACKSON, D. (1991). Molecular Plant Pathology: A
Practical Approach. IRL Press, Oxford.

KING, P.V. & BLAKESLEY, R.W. (1986). Focus 8 (1) : 1-3.

MANIATIS, T., FRITSCH, E.F. & SAMBROOK, J. (1982)
Molecular Cloning : A Laboratory Manual. NY. Cold Spring
Harbour Laboratory.

O'REILLY, D., THOMAS, C.J.R. & COUTTS, R.H.A. (1991)
Journal of General Virology 72 :1-7.

SHIRZADEGAN, M. CHRISTIE, P. & SEEMANN, J.R. (1991)
Nucleic Acids Research 19(21) : 6055.

CLAIMS

1. A method of producing root knot nematode resistant plants, wherein in respect of a root knot nematode infected plant there is identified a gene which is expressed in the giant cells and/or the accompanying hypertrophic cells of root knots of the plant, the promoter of said gene is taken and fused with a coding sequence to provide a chimaeric gene which encodes a molecule which is inimical to one or more of 1. root knot giant cells, 2. root knot hypertrophic cells and 3. root knot nematodes, and a further plant is transformed with said chimaeric gene.
2. A method according to Claim 1, wherein said molecule is a molecule effective to cause necrosis of giant cells and/or hypertrophic cells.
3. A method according to Claim 1, wherein said molecule is a molecule effective to cause necrosis to a root knot nematode.
4. A method according to Claim 1, wherein said molecule is that of an enzyme active to impair plant cell metabolism.
5. A method according to Claim 1, wherein said molecule is the antisense RNA of said gene identified from said infected plant.
6. A method according to Claim 1, wherein said molecule is the antisense RNA of a gene encoding an enzyme critical to plant cell metabolism.

SUBSTITUTE SHEET

7. A root knot nematode resistant plant the result of carrying out a method according to any one of the preceding claims.
8. A plant according to Claim 7 which is a plant of the group consisting of vegetable plants, food legumes, fruit plants, nut plants and fibre crop plants.
9. A plant according to Claim 7 and being a tobacco plant.
10. A plant according to Claim 8 and being tomato plant.
11. A plant according to Claim 8 and being carrot plant.
12. A plant according to Claim 8 and being cotton.
13. A propagule of a plant according to Claim 7.
14. A chimaeric gene comprising a coding sequence which encodes a molecule which is inimical to one or more of 1. root knot giant cells, 2. root knot hypertrophic cells and 3. root knot nematodes, said gene further comprising a promoter sequence which is operable to cause expression of said coding sequence in root knot giant cells and/or root knot hypertrophic cells.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No.

PCT/GB 93/00514

I. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER (if several classification symbols apply, indicate all) ⁶		
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both National Classification and IPC		
Int.Cl. 5 C12N15/82;	A01H1/02;	A01H5/00; A01N63/02
II. FIELDS SEARCHED		
Minimum Documentation Searched?		
Classification System	Classification Symbols	
Int.Cl. 5	C12N ; A01H ; A01N	
Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Extent that such Documents are Included in the Fields Searched ⁸		
III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT⁹		
Category ¹⁰	Citation of Document, ¹¹ with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages ¹²	Relevant to Claim No. ¹³
P,X	WO,A,9 221 757 (PLANT GENETIC SYSTEMS) 10 December 1992 see the whole document ---	1-14
P,X	WO,A,9 204 453 (UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS) 19 March 1992 see the whole document ---	1-14
A	THE PLANT JOURNAL vol. 1, no. 2, September 1991, pages 245 - 254 SIJMONS, P.C., ET AL. 'Arabidopsis thaliana as a new model host for plant parasitic nematodes' see page 252, right column, paragraph 2 ---	1-14
A	EP,A,0 298 918 (CIBA-GEIGY) 11 January 1989 see column 6 - column 7 ---	1-14
	-/--	
<p>¹⁰ Special categories of cited documents :¹⁰</p> <p>"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance</p> <p>"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date</p> <p>"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)</p> <p>"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means</p> <p>"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed</p> <p>"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</p> <p>"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step</p> <p>"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.</p> <p>"d" document member of the same patent family</p>		
IV. CERTIFICATION		
Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search 09 JUNE 1993		Date of Mailing of this International Search Report 13. 07. 93
International Searching Authority EUROPEAN PATENT OFFICE		Signature of Authorized Officer MADDOX A.D.

III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT (CONTINUED FROM THE SECOND SHEET)		
Category*	Citation of Document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to Claim No.
A	CHEMICAL ABSTRACTS, vol. 113, 1990, Columbus, Ohio, US; abstract no. 127723, JUN, W. 'Preparation of transgenic plants for the control of virosis' see abstract & CN,A,1 033 645 (FAMING ZHUANLI SHENQUING GONGKAI SHUOMINGSHU) 5 July 1989 ---	1-14
E	WO,A,9 306 710 (NORTH CAROLINA STATE UNIVERSITY) 15 April 1993 see the whole document ---	1,2,4, 7-14
E	WO,A,9 310 251 (MOGEN) 27 May 1993 see the whole document -----	1-14

**ANNEX TO THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT
ON INTERNATIONAL PATENT APPLICATION NO.**

GB 9300514
SA 71572

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report.
The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on
The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

09/06/93

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO-A-9221757	10-12-92	None	
WO-A-9204453	19-03-92	AU-A- 8502391	30-03-92
EP-A-0298918	11-01-89	AU-B- 620039 JP-A- 1037294	13-02-92 07-02-89
WO-A-9306710	15-04-93	None	
WO-A-9310251	27-05-93	None	

EPO FORM P079

For more details about this annex : see Official Journal of the European Patent Office, No. 12/82